

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Science
Name of education provider	De Montfort University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	29 July 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
John Donaghy
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

The Visitors' report should be amended as follows:

The condition against SET 5.3.2 should be amended to 'The education provider must ensure that all practice placement laboratories have Clinical Pathology Accreditation (CPA) or conditional approval before students begin their placements.'

Reasons
The Panel were satisfied that the amendment would be a more proportionate requirement for the education provider.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Name of education provider	Glasgow Caledonian University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	29 July 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
John Donaghy
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:

The Visitors' report should be amended as follows:

The reason for the recommendation against SET 6.2 should be amended to make it clear that the pass rate referred to the Local Anaesthesia Written Examination within the Medicine and Surgery Module and the reference to school wide assessment regulations should be deleted.

Reasons
The Panel were satisfied that the amendment was necessary to clarify the Visitors' report.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration); PgDip Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Name of education provider	University of Southampton
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	29 July 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
John Donaghy
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
The Visitors' report should be amended as follows: The condition against SET 6.7.2 in both reports should be amended to delete

the example of an exit award.
Reasons
The Panel were satisfied that the example of an exit award was inappropriate.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Name of programme	MSc Diagnostic Radiography (Pre-registration); PgDip Diagnostic Radiography (Pre-registration)
Name of education provider	Teesside University
Mode of delivery	Full time
Date of decision	29 July 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
John Donaghy
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to accept a Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report), the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
The Visitors' report should be amended as follows: The report on the MSc programme should be amended to clarify that, in the

<p>condition against SET 5.6, students undertake a negotiated summer placement at the end of the programme.</p> <p>The report on the PgDip programme should be amended. to delete the condition against SET 5.6.</p>
<p>Reasons</p>
<p>The Panel were satisfied that these amendments were needed for clarity in the report on the MSc programme and for accuracy in the report on the PgDip programme.</p>

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)