

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Applied Biomedical Sciences
Name of education provider	University of the West of Scotland
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	University of Bedfordshire
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

**Health Professions Council
Education and Training Committee Panel**

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Diploma of Credit Pain Management & Local Anaesthesia for Podiatry Practice
Name of education provider	Anglia Ruskin University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MA Art Therapy
Name of education provider	Roehampton University
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	DipHE Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MSc Occupational Therapy (Pre-registration)
Name of education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Name of education provider	Northumbria University at Newcastle
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	University of Liverpool
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Podiatry
Name of education provider	University of Huddersfield
Mode of delivery	Full Time; and Part Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Speech & Language Therapy
Name of education provider	City University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	Diploma of Higher Education Operating Department Practice
Name of education provider	University Campus Suffolk
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	MSc Speech & Language Sciences
Name of education provider	University College London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)

Health Professions Council

Education and Training Committee Panel

Major change - ongoing approval

Name of programme	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Name of education provider	Sheffield Hallam University
Mode of delivery	Work Based learning
Date of decision	25 March 2009

Panel :
Alan Mount, Chair
Sheila Drayton
Carol Lloyd
Jeff Seneviratne
Eileen Thornton

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In deciding whether to agree that a programme should continue to be approved, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision.

Decision:
That the programme continues to meet the standards of education and training and the programme should continue to be approved.
Reasons
The visitors are satisfied that the programme has undergone a major change, but continues to meet the standards of education and training, so no approval visit is required.

Signed: Alan Mount (Chairman of the Panel)