

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | Bangor University   |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology<br>(D.Clin.Psy)                            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Ruth Baker (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Laura Golding (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Ruth Wood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other Documents Submitted:

- Programme specification document

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                   | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP Report Bangor - Doc PPCL FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of Birmingham  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Forensic Psychology Practice (ForenPsyD)                                 |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time<br>Part time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                            | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Birmingham Doc PPF FT<br>& PT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | Cardiff University (Prifysgol Caerdydd)                                   |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Doctorate in Educational Psychology (DEdPsy)                              |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>         | Tim Moss (Health Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Mandy Hargood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                 | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Cardiff Doc PPE FT | Final<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of East Anglia  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology<br>(ClinPsyD)                                   |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Ruth Baker (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational<br>Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Ruth Wood  |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010  |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report UEA - Doc PPCL FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |



## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                 | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....        | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s) ..... | 2 |
| Visitors' Comment .....                              | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of East London  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Ruth Baker (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Laura Golding (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Harry Brick (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Ruth Wood  |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010  |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other Documents Submitted:

- BPS Accreditation Report Dec 2008

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

### **Visitors' Comment**

The visitors would like it noted they have recognised the concern raised by the programme leader (Review & Enhancement Process Form (Programme Level) 2007-2008) in relation to cases where "academic performance or academic misconduct should have merited exclusion" but the appeals process allowed them to continue. The visitors feel this is a very contentious process but feel the HPC's new guidance documents on conduct, performance and ethics and health and character can be of use in future circumstances such as these (Guidance on conduct and ethics for students (HPC 2009), Guidance on health and character(HPC 2009)).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report UEL - Doc PPCL FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of East London   |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Professional Doctorate in Educational and Child Psychology (D.Ed.Ch.Psych)            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### Addition documentation received

- BPS report
- Responses to BPS visit in 2008

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>             | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report UEL Doc PPE FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of Exeter  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Educational, Child and Community Psychology (D.Ed.Psy)                                |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

The education provider has not provided the response to external examiner's report for two years ago as no issues were raised that needed addressing.

- Teaching observations as part of QA procedures documented.

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Exeter Doc PPE FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of Hertfordshire  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Ruth Baker (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Laura Golding (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Harry Brick (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Ruth Wood  |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010  |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

Other Documents Submitted:

- Education provider policy documents
- Details of programme staff
- BPS reports and correspondence
- Placement information

- Handbook and other programme information
- Additional programme information and documents

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                             | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Hertfordshire - Doc<br>PPCL FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |



## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | Newcastle University  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology   |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                   | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Newcastle Doc PPE FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of Nottingham  |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Applied Educational Psychology (D.App.Ed.Psy)                            |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full Time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
  - Internal quality report for one year ago
  - Internal quality report for two years ago
  - External Examiner's for one year ago
  - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Briefing for employers year 2 and 3

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                    | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Nottingham Doc PPE FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | Queen Margaret University   |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology                               |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Part time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>         | Tim Moss (Health Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Mandy Hargood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| Date       | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title                    | Status            | Int. Aud.          |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-06-28 | b    | EDU       | PPR      | NP report QMU Doc PPH PT | Final<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | Queen's University of Belfast   |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Educational, Child and Adolescent Psychology (DECAP)                     |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Judith Bamford (Educational Psychologist)<br>George Delafield (Forensic Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Lewis Roberts   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| Date       | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title                    | Status            | Int. Aud.          |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|--------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26 | a    | EDU       | PPR      | NP report QUB DOC PPE FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |



## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | Royal Holloway, University of London   |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology (DClinPsy)  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Ruth Baker (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Laura Golding (Clinical Psychologist)<br>Harry Brick (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Ruth Wood  |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010  |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                              | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Royal Holloway - Doc<br>PPCL FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....              | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....      | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors ..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University of Sheffield   |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology<br>(DclinPsy)                                      |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitors</b>           | Allan Winthrop (Counselling<br>Psychologist)<br>Sabiha Azmi (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Ben Potter  |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
  - Internal quality report for one year ago
  - Internal quality report for two years ago
  - External Examiner's for one year ago
  - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- Departmental Reports 2008
  - BPS Accreditation Reports

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                          | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report - Sheffield - Doc PPCL - FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|   |   |
|---|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>                     | University of Sheffield   |
| <b>Programme name</b>                         | Doctor of Educational and Child Psychology (DEdCPsy)                      |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>                       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>                         | Tim Moss (Health Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>                    | Mandy Hargood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day / postal review</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that additional documentation was required in order to make a recommendation. The additional documentation is listed below with reasons for the request. Following receipt of the documentation, the visitors made a final recommendation which can be found in Section Four.

#### **Reason**

The visitors would like to receive further evidence to demonstrate how the staff changes reported in the annual course review for February 2010 are being addressed, in particular the apparent absence of the programme director between March and August 2010. The visitors also noted that in the external examiner report for 2008-2009 academic session showed that the WTE for the programme director changed from 0.5 to 0.4 and therefore would like to receive evidence to demonstrate that the management of the programme remains effective. There was no response to this in the education provider's response to the external examiners report.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

The reason for this is:

#### **Reason**

The letter of 9 June 2010 from Dr Kathryn Pomerantz, Course Director, satisfied the visitors that the temporary management arrangements and the permanent management arrangements from 1<sup>st</sup> August 2010 are secure and that there is no risk to the Standards of education and training.

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                           | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-06-23  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report Sheffield Doc PPE<br>FTfinal | Final<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....              | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....      | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors ..... | 2 |
| Visitors' Comments.....                            | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University of Southampton   |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Doctorate in Clinical Psychology<br>(DclinPsychol)                                  |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitors</b>           | Allan Winthrop (Counselling<br>Psychologist)<br>Sabiha Azmi (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Ben Potter  |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

### **Visitors' Comments**

The visitors noted that the 2008/2009 external examiners' reports were very positive about the programme. They also noted documentation was thorough and gave them enough information to allow them to be confident that approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme. However, they noted that the annual reports were written and presented in a style which could, if read in isolation, raise significant concerns regarding key aspects of the programme. They therefore recommend that the programme team seriously considers the format, language and content of these reports to more accurately and formally reflect key aspects and processes of the programme as well as any significant changes. This would then avoid potential concerns arising from these reports if they were to be read without the contextual information provided.

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                               | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report - Southampton - Doc<br>PPCL - FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |



## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | Staffordshire University  |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Professional Doctorate in Health Psychology                               |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time<br>Part time  |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>         | Tim Moss (Health Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Mandy Hargood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### Section Three: Additional Documentation

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| Date       | Ver. | Dept/Cmte | Doc Type | Title                           | Status            | Int. Aud.          |
|------------|------|-----------|----------|---------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26 | a    | EDU       | PPR      | NP report Staff Doc PPH FT & PT | Final<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....              | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....      | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors ..... | 2 |
| Visitors' Comments.....                            | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University of Surrey  |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | PsychD Psychotherapeutic and<br>Counselling Psychology (PsychD)                     |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitors</b>           | Allan Winthrop (Counselling<br>Psychologist)<br>Sabiha Azmi (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Ben Potter  |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
- Internal quality report for one year ago
- Internal quality report for two years ago
- External Examiner's for one year ago
- External Examiner's Report for two years ago
- Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
- Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

### **Visitors' Comments**

The visitors' noted that in the 'Placement Handbook 2009-2010' and the 'Appendices to Course Handbook 2009-2010' that several documents were referred to students for them to pursue further in helping them prepare for practice. This included the British Psychological Society's guide to conduct performance and ethics, but did not include the Student guide to the HPC's standards of conduct performance and ethics. The visitors' suggest that in future the HPC student guidance is included in these handbooks. This will help to highlight the HPC standards of conduct performance and ethics and allow students to better understand the implications of these standards for their course and their future careers.

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                       | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report - Surrey - Doc PPCO - FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|   |   |
|---|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....                | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....       | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University College London   |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Educational and Child Psychology (D.Ed.Psy)                               |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time   |
| <b>HPC visitor(s)</b>         | Tim Moss (Health Psychologist)<br>Trevor Holme (Educational Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Mandy Hargood   |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
  - Internal quality report for one year ago
  - Internal quality report for two years ago
  - External Examiner's for one year ago
  - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- BPS Accreditation report 2009

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitor(s)**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                            | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|---|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP monitoring report: UCL Doc<br>PPE FT | Final<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |

## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....              | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....      | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors ..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |   |
|-------------------------------|---|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University of the West of England,<br>Bristol                                       |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Professional Doctorate in Counselling<br>Psychology                                 |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time<br>Part time  |
| <b>HPC visitors</b>           | Allan Winthrop (Counselling<br>Psychologist)<br>Sabiha Azmi (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Ben Potter  |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010   |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
  - Internal quality report for one year ago
  - Internal quality report for two years ago
  - External Examiner's for one year ago
  - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- British Psychological Society Re-Accreditation Self-Evaluation Document 'Introduction' – December 2007
  - British Psychological Society Re-Accreditation Report – December 2007

- British Psychological Society Re-Accreditation Self-Evaluation Document – June 2009
- British Psychological Society Re-Accreditation Report – June 2009

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                         | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report - UWE - Doc PPCO - FT & PT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |



## New profession monitoring report

### Contents

|  |   |
|--|---|
| Section One: Programme Details .....               | 1 |
| Section Two: Submission Details .....              | 1 |
| Section Three: Additional Documentation .....      | 2 |
| Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors ..... | 2 |

### Section One: Programme Details

|                               |  |
|-------------------------------|--|
| <b>Education provider</b>     | University of Wolverhampton  |
| <b>Programme name</b>         | Practitioner Doctorate in Counselling Psychology (DcounsPsy)                     |
| <b>Mode of delivery</b>       | Full time  |
| <b>HPC visitors</b>           | Allan Winthrop (Counselling Psychologist)<br>Sabiha Azmi (Clinical Psychologist) |
| <b>Education executive</b>    | Ben Potter   |
| <b>Date of assessment day</b> | 25 May 2010  |

### Section Two: Submission Details

The following documents were submitted as part of the audit submission:

- A completed HPC audit form
  - Internal quality report for one year ago
  - Internal quality report for two years ago
  - External Examiner's for one year ago
  - External Examiner's Report for two years ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report one year ago
  - Response to External Examiner's report for two years ago
- BPS Accreditation Report
  - Accreditation Report Response
  - BPS Response

### **Section Three: Additional Documentation**

The visitors agreed that no further documentation was required in order to make a recommendation.

### **Section Four: Recommendation of the visitors**

The approval visit scheduled for the academic year 2011/2012 is the most appropriate method to assess the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs).

| <b>Date</b> | <b>Ver.</b> | <b>Dept/Cmte</b> | <b>Doc Type</b> | <b>Title</b>                                 | <b>Status</b>     | <b>Int. Aud.</b>   |
|-------------|-------------|------------------|-----------------|--|-------------------|--------------------|
| 2010-05-26  | a           | EDU              | PPR             | NP report - Wolverhampton - Doc<br>PPCO - FT | Draft<br>DD: None | Public<br>RD: None |