

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Physiotherapy
Education provider	Bradford, University of
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including the conditions and recommendations in the report) should be accepted.
Reasons:
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.
The Panel noted the education provider's observation that the programme should be awarded a commendation. The Panel requested that the Executive write to the education provider to provide further explanation of the context for the award of commendations by the HPC.
The Panel noted the education provider's observation that the condition against

SET 5.8 had originally been proposed as a recommendation by visitors. The Panel agreed that findings discussed with Education Providers during feedback meetings were provisional, and therefore subject to review before a final visitors report.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Qualification in Occupational Psychology
Education provider	British Psychological Society
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decisions:

That the reason provided for the recommendation in relation to SET 3.8 should be amended to replace the text 'register of approved placement providers' with the title: 'Register of Applied Psychology Practice Supervisors (RAPPS)'.

That the reason provided for the condition in relation to SET 3.9 should be amended to include the text 'the panel noted the Chief Executive's observation that there had been a positive response amongst BPS members to the trial'.

That the section of the Visitors report relating to SET 4.8 should be amended to record that the online "Yahoo" group is self-administered by students. Executive should write to the education provider to provide further clarification regarding the recommendation in relation to SET 4.8.

That, subject to the above amendments, the Visitors' report (including conditions

and recommendations) is accepted.

Reasons:

The Panel is satisfied that changes to the reasons in relation to SETs 3.8, 3.9 and 4.8 were admissible as points of clarification.

The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Qualification in Health Psychology (Stage 2)
Education provider	British Psychological Society
Mode of delivery	Flexible
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted. The Executive should write to the education provider to provide further clarification regarding the condition in relation to SET 5.11.
Reasons
The Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed. The Panel noted that Visitors had agreed to provide further clarification regarding

the condition in relation to SET 5.11.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time (In Service)
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
 Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Diagnostic Radiography
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time (In Service)
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
 Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Therapeutic Radiography
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
 Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Pg Dip Therapeutic Radiography
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , **Panel Chair**

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair)
Joy Tweed

Jo-ann Carlyle
Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Part Time (In Service)
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
 Joy Tweed Diane Waller


Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Occupational Therapy
Education provider	London South Bank University
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
Having considered the observations of the Education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	BSc (Hons) Audiology
Education provider	University College London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs


In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decisions:
That the responses to conditions should be submitted by 28 October 2011. That the Visitors' report (including conditions and recommendations) is accepted.
Reasons
The Panel agreed it was reasonable to extend that the timeframe for response to conditions on this occasion to give the education provider time to respond in full. The Panel noted that the education provider had provided an update regarding the final intake date for the programme. Having considered the observations of the education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme

can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)
Education provider	University College London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decisions:

That the historic title for the programme should be amended in all appropriate HPC records from MSc Audiology (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence) to MSc Audiological Science (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence).

That the name of the programme being considered for ongoing approval should be recorded as: MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

That the responses to conditions should be submitted by 28 October 2011.

That the education provider should provide the final intake date and graduation date for the MSc Audiological Science (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence).

That the education provider should provide the first intake date for the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC).

Reasons

The Panel accepted that recording the programme as MSc Audiological Science (with clinical competency certificate or certificate of audiological competence) accurately reflected the name historically used for the programme by the education provider.

That Panel agreed that the new name for the course reflected the current requirements of the programme.

The Panel agreed it was reasonable to extend the timeframe for response to conditions on this occasion to give the education provider time to respond in full.

The Panel noted the observation of the education provider that a split conditions deadline was required in particular to meet SET 2.1 in full. The Panel did not consider that the development of a new programme was required in order to meet the conditions in the visitors report. The Panel noted that the education provider may wish to develop a new combined programme in future, but this could be dealt with through a major change or separate approval process.

Having considered the observations of the education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved or before the ongoing approval of the programme can be confirmed.

Signed:  , Panel Chair

Education and Training Committee Panel

Programmes in respect of which approval/ongoing approval is recommended subject to conditions, where the education provider has made observations on the visitors' report

Programme name	Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC)
Education provider	University College London
Mode of delivery	Full Time
Date of decision	Thursday 25 August 2011

Panel: John Donaghy (Chair) Jo-ann Carlyle
Joy Tweed Diane Waller

Guidance for Panel Chairs

In determining whether to approve a programme, the Panel must reach its decision on the basis of the evidence put before it, in the form of the HPC Visitors' report and any observations on the report made by the education provider.

The Visitors' report is only a recommendation and the Panel may depart from that recommendation where it is satisfied that it is appropriate to do so.

The Panel must reach its own decision and give reasons for that decision. If the Panel wishes to amend the report, it should give reasons for each amendment.

Decision:
That the conditions in the Visitors' report should be accepted.
That the responses to conditions should be submitted by 28 October 2011.
That in future, students enrolled on the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) who were awarded the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) would be eligible for entry to the register, providing that by the time of their award, the Post Graduate Diploma programme had met the conditions in the visitors report and had completed a full approval process.
That graduates awarded the Postgraduate Diploma in Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) before it had completed a full approval process would not be eligible for entry to the HPC register by virtue of that award.

Reasons

Having considered the observations of the education provider, the Panel is satisfied that the conditions in the Visitors' report must be met before the programme can be approved.

The Panel noted the observation from the education provider that the programme is not already approved by the HPC due to a clerical oversight. However HPC does not provide approval to programmes retrospectively. Without sufficient evidence that the programme has been approved by the Hearing Aid Council or the HPC, the HPC is not able to provide ongoing approval to the programme. The Panel noted that there had been sufficient opportunities for the education provider to provide this evidence.

The Panel noted the observation from the education provider, that the programme was identical to the MSc Audiological Science with Certificate in Clinical Competency (CCC) programme with the exception of a research module. The Panel agreed that it was a reasonable exception to allow for students enrolled on the MSc to be eligible for the register through the Post Graduate Diploma, as long as the Diploma had completed the approval process before the date of their graduation.

The Panel recorded its concern that students who had completed this programme may not be aware that the award did not in itself make them eligible to join the HPC register.

The Panel noted the observation of the education provider that a split conditions deadline was required in particular to meet SET 2.1 in full. The Panel did not consider that the development of a new programme was required in order to meet the conditions in the visitors' report. The Panel noted that the education provider may wish to develop a new combined programme in future, but this could be dealt with through a major change or separate approval process.

Signed:  , Panel Chair