## **ACTION POINTS** STANDARDS OF PROFICIENCY PROFESSIONAL LIAISON GROUP 25 APRIL 2006

	Action point (and location in the minutes)	For the attention of	Action by (date)	Outcome
1.	The Group agreed that an introductory statement should be included regarding the legal background to the SoPs. This should demonstrate how the legal aspect had driven the language used. Additionally, in 1a.1 reference was required to that fact that registrants should always practice in the best interests of their patients. from 25 April 2006 ( <b>Public minutes, 6.5</b> )	MG	19 June 2006	
2.	The Group agreed to a number of amendments in its review of the draft standards. Please see changes listed on p 4-5. from 25 April 2006 ( <b>Public</b> <b>minutes, 8.3</b> )	MG	19 June 2006	
3.	The Group agreed in its review of the standards specific to Chiropodists and Podiatrists that further legal advice should be sought on the suggestion to remove the optional part of the standards relating to prescription only medicines (POMs) and local anaesthetic (LA) from 25 April 2006 ( <b>Public</b> <b>minutes, 8.5</b> )	MG	19 June 2006	
4.	The Group agreed in its review of the standards specific to Occupational	MG/AT	19 June 2006	

DateVer.Dept/Cmte2006-05-18aSEC Doc Type PPR

Title SoPs PLG Actions List 25 April 2006

**Status** Final DD: None

Int. Aud. Public RD: None

Therapists that the term		
'client' was not an accepted		
term and a more popular		
phrase used by the		
profession was 'person'.		
Annie Turner (who sits on		
Council as the occupational		
therapist) was to liaise with		
Michael on this and other		
points for amendment from		
25 April 2006 ( <b>Public</b>		
minutes, 8.10)		

**Date Ver.** 2006-05-18 a

. Dept/Cmte SEC Doc Type T PPR S 2

Title SoPs PLG Actions List 25 April 2006 **Status** Final DD: None Int. Aud. Public RD: None

ERROR: undefinedfilename OFFENDING COMMAND: c

STACK: