### health & care professions council

#### Visitors' report

Name of education provider	Bangor University	
Programme name	MSc Occupational Therapy	
Mode of delivery	Full time accelerated	
Relevant part of the HCPC Register	Occupational therapist	
Date of visit	24 - 25 October 2012	

#### Contents

xecutive summary	.2
ntroduction	
/isit details	.3
Sources of evidence	.4
Recommended outcome	.5
Conditions	6

#### Executive summary

The Health and Care Professions Council (HCPC) approve educational programmes in the UK which health and care professionals must complete before they can apply to be registered with us. The HCPC is a statutory regulator and our main aim is to protect the public. The HCPC currently regulates 16 professions. All of these professions have at least one professional title which is protected by law. This means that anyone using the title Occupational therapist must be registered with us. The HCPC keep a register of health and care professionals who meet our standards for their training, professional skills, behaviour and health.

The visitors' report which follows outlines the recommended outcome made by the visitors on the approval of the programme. This recommended outcome was accepted by the Education and Training Committee (Committee) on 4 December 2012. At the Committee meeting on 4 December 2012, the programme was approved. This means that the education provider has met the condition(s) outlined in this report and that the programme meets our standards of education and training (SETs) and ensures that those who complete it meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register. The programme is now granted open ended approval, subject to satisfactory monitoring.

#### Introduction

This visit was the result of the education provider amending their currently approved PG Dip Occupational Therapy programme. The new MSc Occupational Therapy programme will comprise of the PG Dip programme followed by an additional dissertation module. Given the similarity between the approved programme and the new programme, it was agreed that the approval of this programme would incorporate those who enrolled for the September 2012 cohort. Those students will be eligible to apply for registration upon successful completion of the programme with the caveat that the education provider will have to meet all conditions in this report including any conditions the visitors set specifically for the first cohort of students who commenced the programme in September 2012.

This visit assessed the programme against the standards of education and training (SETs) and considered whether those who complete the programme meet the standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

This visit was an HCPC only visit. The education provider did not validate or review the programmes at the visit. The education provider supplied an independent chair and secretary for the visit. The visit also considered a different programme – MSc Occupational Therapy. A separate visitor report exists for this programme.

Name of HCPC visitors and profession	Joanna Goodwin (Occupational therapist) Margaret Foster (Occupational therapist)
HCPC executive officers (in attendance)	Matthew Nelson
HCPC observer	Jamie Hunt
Proposed student numbers	Maximum of 25 across all pathways (PG Dip and MSc routes)
First approved intake	September 2012
Effective date that programme approval reconfirmed from	September 2012
Chair	David Wright (Bangor University)
Secretary	Gemma Plowman (Bangor University)

#### Visit details

#### Sources of evidence

Prior to the visit the HCPC reviewed the documentation detailed below, sent by the education provider:

	Yes	No	N/A
Programme specification			
Descriptions of the modules	$\boxtimes$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SETs	$\square$		
Mapping document providing evidence of how the education provider has met the SOPs	$\square$		
Practice placement handbook	$\boxtimes$		
Student handbook	$\boxtimes$		
Curriculum vitae for relevant staff	$\square$		
External examiners' reports from the last two years	$\square$		
Internal and external evaluation/audit reports and resultant changes	$\square$		
Clinical audit tool		$\square$	
Validation document	$\square$		
Curriculum document	$\square$		
Mapping documents to professional body and QAA standards	$\square$		
Fitness to practice documentation	$\square$		

The HCPC did not review the clinical audit tool prior to the visit as the education provider did not submit it. However, they did table it at the visit itself.

During the visit the HCPC saw the following groups or facilities:

	Yes	No	N/A
Senior managers of the education provider with responsibility for resources for the programme	$\boxtimes$		
Programme team	$\boxtimes$		
Placements providers and educators/mentors	$\boxtimes$		
Students	$\boxtimes$		
Learning resources	$\boxtimes$		
Specialist teaching accommodation (eg specialist laboratories and teaching rooms)	$\boxtimes$		

#### Recommended outcome

To recommend a programme for approval, the visitors must be assured that the programme meets all of the standards of education and training (SETs) and that those who complete the programme meet our standards of proficiency (SOPs) for their part of the Register.

A number of conditions are set on the programme, all of which must be met before the ongoing approval of the programme is reconfirmed.

The visitors agreed that 55 of the SETs have been met and that conditions should be set on the remaining two SETs.

Conditions are requirements that the education provider must meet before the programme can be recommended for ongoing approval. Conditions are set when certain standards of education and training have not been met or there is insufficient evidence of the standard being met.

The visitors did not make any recommendations for the programme.

#### Conditions

# 2.1 The admissions procedures must give both the applicant and the education provider the information they require to make an informed choice about whether to take up or make an offer of a place on a programme.

**Condition:** The programme team must revise the documentation to ensure the relationship and progression between the PG Dip and MSc award is clearly articulated to applicants.

**Reason:** From reading the documentation, the visitors noted that students registered for the PG Dip Occupational Therapy and MSc Occupational Therapy all initially complete a common two year programme. Students registered for the MSc would then go on to complete a dissertation module. However, there were a number of issues regarding the relationship between the two programmes, and associated options for students which were only fully clarified after discussions with the senior management and programme teams. The visitors were unclear about the timeframe students would have to follow should they wish to step up from the PG Dip to the MSc or should they wish to return and complete the post registration MSc at a future date. The visitors were also unclear whether a PG Dip would be awarded should a student step off the MSc programme during the dissertation module. The visitors felt that the lack of clarity in these areas may prevent applicants from making an informed choice about whether to take up an offer of a place on the programme. In order for this SET to be met, the visitors require the appropriate documentation be amended to clearly reflect the possible avenues through the programmes, timeframes involved and qualifications awarded.

## 3.8 The resources to support student learning in all settings must be effectively used.

**Condition:** The programme team must revisit programme documentation to ensure the terminology in use is reflective of the current terminology used in relation to statutory regulation and the HCPC.

**Reason:** The visitors noted the programme documentation submitted by the education provider did not fully comply with the relevant guidance issued by HCPC. For example, there was reference to the HCPC being an 'External accreditation body' (Programme specification, p1) and the HCPC 'who validate the course professionally' (Student programme handbook, p8). With reference to these two examples respectively; the HCPC is a regulatory body and would grant approval for a programme. The visitors require the documentation to be reviewed to remove any instances of incorrect or out-of-date terminology. In this way the visitors can be sure that the documentary resources available to support students' learning are being effectively used and that this standard can be met.

Joanna Goodwin Margaret Foster